1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 9 10 INFINITE MASTER MAGNETIC, 11 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:15-cv-01632-RFB-CWH 12 REPORT & RECOMMENDATION VS. REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER, et al., 13 14 Defendants. 15 On May 17, 2017, the court entered an order requiring Plaintiff Infinite Master Magnetic to 16 17 file an updated application to proceed in forma pauperis or to pay the filing fee in this case. (Order 18 (ECF No. 4).) Plaintiff's deadline for doing so was June 16, 2017. (Id. at 2.) Plaintiff was advised 19 that failure to comply with the order would result in a recommendation that his case be dismissed. 20 (Id.) Plaintiff has not taken any action in this case since the court's order dated May 17, 2017, and 21 he therefore appears to have abandoned this case. 22 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff Infinite Master Magnetic's case be 23 dismissed without prejudice. 24 **NOTICE** 25 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States district judge assigned to 26 this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation may 27 file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being served 28 with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may

waive the right to appeal the district court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: June 21, 2017 C.W. Hoffman, Jr. United States Magistrate Judge